17/12/07

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No.7386 of 2007

PETITIONERS

1. Pyare Lal Ghritlahare, aged 40 years, s/o Shri Taturam, Lecturer(Hist) Govt. HSS Chhura District Raipur
2. Ram Bharos aged 40 years, s/o Shri Chanda Ram, Lecturer Govt. H.S.Sc. Jashpur Nagar, Jashpur CG
3. Deepchand Korshiya, aged 42 years, s/o Sandru Ram Korshiya, Lecturer, Govt. HSS Manpur, District Rajnandgaon

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. The State Government of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The District Education Officer, Rajnandgaon(CG)
3. The District Education Officer, Raipur(CG)
4. The District Education Officer, Jashpur(CG)
5. The Director (Public Instruction) Raipur(CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri Somkant Verma, counsel for the petitioners.

Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(13.12.2007)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J

Heard on admission.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have passed B.Ed. examination on their own expenses, therefore, they are entitled to receive two advance increments according to circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999. He also submits that in many identical matters, the petitions have been allowed in terms of the order passed in the case of ***Yashwant***

Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another {2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96}. He submits that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the said judgment.

This legal position is not disputed by the learned counsel for the State. However, she submits that the order may be passed in terms of the said judgment, but it shall be subjected to verification regarding petitioners' such qualification.

Accordingly, this writ petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj Vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another (Supra)* subject to verification of the facts as to whether the petitioners had acquired B.Ed. Examination Certificates on their own expenses as per circulars dated 24.12.1998 and 01.03.1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not.

The petition stands finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

shyna